

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,323	?	12/20/2001	David William Koenig	KCC 4788 (K.C. No. 15.397	6145
321	7590	07/28/2003			
		WERS LEAVITT A	EXAMINER		
16TH FI	ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
31 LOO	ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	10/029,322	KOENIG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Elizabeth M Cole	1771					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del> ·						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		on Cummary						

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-11, 14, 18-20, 28-33, 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 00/66187 to Mandell et al. Mandell discloses an absorbent product comprising an osmoregulation protector such as glycine betaine. See page 16, lines12- page 17, line 33. The osmoregulation protector may be incorporated into a shell material such as a superabsorbent particle. See page 28. The betaine acts to prevent the formation of ammonia formation. See page 3, lines 6-9.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 15-17, 27, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandell et al. Mandell discloses an absorbent product as set forth above. Mandell differs from the claimed invention because Mandell does not disclose the claimed amounts of osmoregulation protector employed in terms of milligrams per grams of product. However, Mandell teaches employing an effective amount.

  Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322

Art Unit: 1771

invention was made to have selected the amount to employ through the process of routine experimentation.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandell as applied to claims above, and further in view of Lorenzi et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,217,889. Lorenzi teaches that liposomes maybe incorporated into personal absorbent articles and cleansing wipes along with betaines in order to enhance the skin soothing properties of the absorbent articles and cleansing wipes. See col. 15, lines 1-21 and col. 2412-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further incorporated liposomes into the material of Mandell as taught by Lorenzi, motivated by the expectation that this would further enhance the skin soothing properties of the articles.
- 6. Claims 21, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/31092 to Romano et al. Romano et al discloses a wet wipe which is impregnated with a solution comprising a glycine betaine in an amount which is effective to interact with bacteria. See page 7, line 13 page 8, line 28.
- 7. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romano et al. Romano differs from the claimed invention because Romano does not disclose the claimed amounts of osmoregulation protector employed in terms of milligrams per grams of product. However, Romano teaches employing an effective amount. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the amount to employ through the process of routine experimentation.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeto M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c